

## **Environment and Regeneration Overview and Scrutiny Committee**

---

**Date of Meeting:** 15 March 2021

**Report Title:** Work Programme

**Portfolio Holder:** Councillor C Browne – Deputy Leader

Councillor T Fox – Portfolio Holder for Planning

Councillor N Mannion – Portfolio Holder for Environment and Regeneration

Councillor L Crane – Portfolio Holder for Highways and Waste

Councillor M Warren – Portfolio Holder for Communities

**Senior Officer:** Executive Director of Corporate Services

---

### **1. Report Summary**

- 1.1. To review items in the work programme listed in the schedule attached, together with any other items suggested by committee members.

### **2. Recommendation**

- 2.1. That the work programme be reviewed.

### **3. Reasons for Recommendation**

- 3.1 It is good practice to review the work programme and update accordingly

### **4. Other Options Considered**

- 4.1. There are no further options to consider.

### **5. Background**

- 5.1 The schedule attached has been updated following the last meeting of the committee.
- 5.2 Members are asked to review the schedule attached to this report, and if appropriate, add new items or delete items that no longer require any scrutiny activity. When selecting potential topics, Members should have regard to the Council's new three year plan and also to the general criteria listed below, which

should be applied to all potential items when considering whether any Scrutiny activity is appropriate.

5.3 The following questions should be asked in respect of each potential work programme item:

- Does the issue fall within a corporate priority;
- Is the issue of key interest to the public;
- Does the matter relate to a poor or declining performing service for which there is no obvious explanation;
- Is there a pattern of budgetary overspends;
- Is it a matter raised by external audit management letters and or audit reports?
- Is there a high level of dissatisfaction with the service;

5.4 If during the assessment process any of the following emerge, then the topic should be rejected:

- The topic is already being addressed elsewhere
- The matter is subjudice
- Scrutiny cannot add value or is unlikely to be able to conclude an investigation within the specified timescale

## **6. Implications**

### **6.1. Legal Implications**

6.1.1. There are no legal implications at this stage.

### **6.2. Finance Implications**

6.2.1. There are no financial implications at this stage

### **6.3. Equality Implications**

6.3.1. There are no equalities implications at this stage.

### **6.4. Human Resources Implications**

6.4.1. There are no human resources implications at this stage.

### **6.5. Risk Management Implications**

6.5.1. There are no risk management implications at this stage.

## **6.6. Rural Communities Implications**

6.6.1. There are no implications for rural communities.

## **6.7. Implications for Children & Young People**

6.7.1. There are no implications for children and young people at this stage.

## **6.8. Public Health Implications**

6.8.1. There are no direct implications for public health.

## **7. Ward Members Affected**

7.1. All.

## **8. Access to Information**

8.1. The background papers can be inspected by contacting the report author.

## **9. Contact Information**

9.1. Any questions relating to this report should be directed to the following officer:

Name: Helen Davies

Job Title: Scrutiny Officer

Email: [helen.davies@cheshireeast.gov.uk](mailto:helen.davies@cheshireeast.gov.uk)