

# **CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL**

## **REPORT TO: SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

---

<b>Date of Meeting:</b>	5 April 2012
<b>Report of:</b>	Borough Solicitor
<b>Subject/Title:</b>	Work Programme update

---

### **1.0 Report Summary**

- 1.1 To review items in the 2011 Work Programme, to consider the efficacy of existing items listed in the schedule attached, together with any other items suggested by Committee Members.

### **2.0 Recommendations**

- 2.1 That the work programme be received and noted.

### **3.0 Reasons for Recommendations**

- 3.1 It is good practice to agree and review the Work Programme to enable effective management of the Committee's business.

### **4.0 Wards Affected**

- 4.1 All

### **5.0 Local Ward Members**

- 5.1 Not applicable.

### **6.0 Policy Implications including - Climate change - Health**

- 6.1 Not known at this stage.

### **7.0 Financial Implications for Transition Costs**

- 7.1 None identified at the moment.

### **8.0 Legal Implications (Authorised by the Borough Solicitor)**

- 8.1 None.

### **9.0 Risk Management**

9.1 There are no identifiable risks.

## **10.0 Background and Options**

10.1 In reviewing the work programme, Members must pay close attention to the Corporate Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy.

10.2 The schedule attached, has been updated in line with the Committees recommendations on 1 March 2012. Following this meeting the document will be updated so that all the appropriate targets will be included within the schedule.

10.3 In reviewing the work programme, Members must have regard to the general criteria which should be applied to all potential items, including Task and Finish reviews, when considering whether any Scrutiny activity is appropriate. Matters should be assessed against the following criteria:

- Does the issue fall within a corporate priority
- Is the issue of key interest to the public
- Does the matter relate to a poor or declining performing service for which there is no obvious explanation
- Is there a pattern of budgetary overspends
- Is it a matter raised by external audit management letters and or audit reports?
- Is there a high level of dissatisfaction with the service

10.4 If during the assessment process any of the following emerge, then the topic should be rejected:

- The topic is already being addressed elsewhere
- The matter is subjudice
- Scrutiny cannot add value or is unlikely to be able to conclude an investigation within the specified timescale

## **11.0 Access to Information**

The background papers relating to this report can be inspected by contacting the report writer:

Name: James Morley  
Designation: Scrutiny Officer  
Tel No: 01270 686465  
Email: james.morley@cheshireeast.gov.uk