APPLICATION NO: 18/0294M

LOCATION: Land North Of, CHELFORD ROAD, MACCLESFIELD

PROPOSAL: Outline planning application (with all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of up to 31 dwellings

REPRESENTATIONS

Henbury Parish Council (HPC):

Henbury Parish Council have submitted a further representation objecting to this application on the following grounds:

Air Quality:

- Removal of monitoring tube that didn't fit the model (CE257) which had the highest reading
- Tube CE91 should be reinstated as there are at least 11 properties that are likely to be 20% above the 40ug/m3 limit
- No consideration of the impact of signal queues outside of peak hour and the corresponding impact on pollution
- The three Broken Cross/Henbury developments, when combined with increased development levels around Macclesfield, will endanger the health of residents

Transport:

- No convincing traffic modelling and survey work was performed and at suboptimal times
- Pedestrian access and usage surveys were undertaken when school children were off during exam time
- Survey results ignored where they show higher flow rates on the A537
- Developers are only required to mitigate their development, but CEC should be aware of the overall context surrounding the development and impact on traffic/air quality
- Tesco Express Store at Broken Cross not taken into account and King's School and Bolin Meadow developments not factored in
- Carriageway width not sufficient for a right turn ghost island for access to 17/4277M

Peat:

• Extraction of peat is contrary to Policy SE 10 of the Cheshire East Local Plan Strategy

Flood Risk / Hydrology:

- No consideration given to the impact on Cock Wood LWS which will be taking all the run-off and should be protected
- Effectiveness of attenuation takes questioned

Education:

• Contributions from the developers have been agreed but no serious consideration made of the actual school availability in the local area of which there is a lack of.

Save Macclesfield Green Belt (SMGB):

Save Macclesfield Green Belt have commented that the site contains a number of peat and silt deposits which would need to be removed to facilitate the proposals. On this basis, the SMGB consider that the application is contrary to Policy SE 10 of the Cheshire East Local Plan Strategy (CELPS), which states that the 'Council will not support proposals for peat extraction from new or extended sites'.

OFFICER COMMENT

Air Quality

The Council's Environmental Protection Unit (EPU) queried the removal of a monitoring tube (CE257) from the air quality assessment. The applicant provided an updated set of data with tube CE257 included in the verification. The updated data also showed negligible impacts throughout the conclusions on the EPU remained unchanged.

The situation regarding tubes in the Broken Cross area is under review, as are all of the tube sites across the borough on a periodic basis to see whether more tubes are needed, or to remove ones that aren't necessary anymore. It's worth noting that the replacement tube CE257, is actually closer to the roadside than CE91 was so it was probably determined at the time that it would be fully representative of the previous location. The higher tube result from CE91 has been queried against the modelled data. The dispersion modelling has been unable to replicate the results shown by CE91 for a variety of reasons. If the assessment was done using 2016's data only, and therefore, including CE91, this would have meant that the dispersion model would have been based on one tube only as the others weren't in use until the start of 2017. Modelling using only one tube leads to more inaccurate results so the preference will always be to use as many tubes as available, hence using 2017's data.

The assessment uses an educated approximation of the non peak hour queue length which also takes into account the signalisation of the junction at Broken Cross. The developments themselves are not considered to have a detrimental impact on air quality subject to the proposed mitigation measures.

Peat

Policy SE 10 of the CELPS relates to proposals for minerals development. Its aims are to ensure there is a sustainable provision of minerals within the Borough. Whilst bullet 9 of Policy SE 10 states that the Council will "not support proposals for peat extraction from new or extended sites", this is in reference to sites for the working and mining of minerals. This is a scheme for residential development and therefore Policy SE 10 is not applicable to this application.

The submitted Geo-Environmental Assessment which accompanies the application confirms that peat is present on the site. It also confirms that some areas of peat will need to be excavated and backfilled with material to enable appropriate ground works to be undertaken and suitable foundations to be used. In the interests of environmental sustainability, the excavated material could be placed elsewhere within the site. This detail could be secured at reserved matters stage when the precise position of buildings and the internal roads are known.

Flood Risk

The proposed flood and drainage attenuation measures and the impacts of the development on ecology have already been assessed and deemed to be acceptable. These matters are covered on pages 80 and 82 of the Agenda Reports Pack.

Education

The impact of the proposed development on school places has been assessed in the context of the capacity of the local schools. Accordingly, the financial contributions sought towards education would be used to facilitate the inclusion of those pupils generated by the proposals within the schools in the area.

Any further transport comments will be provided verbally to members.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve as per the recommendation on page 84 of the Agenda Reports Pack.