

Objection No'	Grounds of Objection	Notes	Valid Y / N
1	No objections provided	Email was blank.	No
2	Sold for Development - 2000 homes built already. Green Space is necessary for mental and physical health. Development will cause traffic issues for the surrounding area. Against CEC Climate Change Policy / Proposal.	Planning and development objections.	No
3	The subject land is a natural space featuring local wildlife and well used by the local community for exercise, including walking and running. Everything should be done to preserve, promote and improve green spaces, mental and physical health	Site is used for exercise.	Yes
4	There are so few areas of open space for members of the community to enjoy.	Site is used by the community	Yes
5	No grounds provided - just an objection received.	No reasons for objection was provided.	No
6	The site is used to walk the dog and is easy for for anybody with a disability / limited mobility. Development will cause traffic issues for the surrounding area.	Dog walking	Yes in part.
7	Too much development in Alsager and selling this land to developers is a disgrace. Services are stretched. The land is used for dog walking and exercise.	Dog walking and exercise	Yes in part.
8	The disposal of this land would leave 30+ plot holders with nowhere to park, having to carry their tools and equipment over a fair distance. It also cuts off the only means of large vehicle access to obtain delivery of manure and skips. This area is also a open green space in an already heavily developed area, used by walkers etc during lockdown.	Used for exercise	Yes in part.
9	Well used open space, where local people can exercise their dogs. Development will cause traffic issues for the surrounding area.	Dog Walking	Yes in part.
10	Town Council has not been notified of the proposed disposal.	Response to Cllr Phil Williams email responding to this process.	No
11	Agreeing with the Town Council response above.		No
12	All of the current open spaces is required for purposes of health and wellbeing and recreation (especially due to Covid). Residential development is not required in Alsager. Should transfer it to Alsager TC.	Open space required for health and wellbeing recreation.	Yes in part.
13	If the land is to be used for residential use then why don't the Council build rented social accommodation.		No
14	The land is classed as a brownfield site, it is zoned in the Cheshire East Local Plan for residential development and has a timed out planning approval.		No

15	The impact that this would have on wildlife, as the land will be used for building if it loses its protection as open space. This would put additional pressure on infrastructure in Alsager.	Wildlife	Yes in part.
16	1. PROTECT AND ENHANCE OUR NATURAL ENVIRONMENT Our natural environment provides us with multiple benefits including improving air quality, conserving biodiversity, reducing flood risk and capturing carbon. It contributes to our 'Quality of Place' and enables people to enjoy the outdoors contributing to their physical and mental wellbeing and to our economy. 2. Notice is not valid. No notices on site or to residents / stakeholders about the Councils intention. 3.The plan provided on your website, is misleading or wrong. 4. The site is used daily by dog walkers. 5. The site is valuable asset for flora and fauna, mother nature has taken care of that site for many years and there is an abundance of wildlife and wildflowers. 6. The site is a valuable asset to the nearby residents and dog walkers, it is good for their mental health to be able to see and use valuable open public spaces. 7. Cheshire East Council are trying to plant as many trees as possible to combat our climate emergency, however on this site you wish to rip up trees, taking away the homes of many birds and there have even been bats seen at the site.	Open Space required for health and wellbeing recreation. Dog Walking Valuable Asset for Flora and Fauna (wildlife).	Yes in part.
17	No objections provided	Email was blank.	No
18	This space is used by dog walkers in particular and has a shared access to allotments. Against development It would put additional pressure on infrastructure in Alsager.	Dog Walking	Yes in part.
19	1. Damaging to environment to allow more houses to be build in an already over crowded / populated village. 2. Duty to protect wildlife and preserve this wherever possible. 3. Local residents who use the space for recreational activities such as walking, dog walking. 4. Responsibility to protect what is left of the natural habitat for animals and wildlife. 5. Infrastructure issues. 6. Plans for a development in this area have already been previously rejected several times over the past decade so it's unreasonable to allow this now. 7. Plans for a development in this area have already been previously rejected several times over the past decade so it's unreasonable to allow this now.	Recreational activities	Yes in part.
20	Do Not sell off this parcel of land for housing. It is one of our remaining open spaces in Alsager. It is being well used for dog walking, it supports wild life and in summer has lots of wild flowers which again supports wild life and insects.	Dog Walking	Yes in part.

21	It is well used by the local community, including myself for dog walking and outdoor exercise. It is also a wildlife corridor and Cheshire East should be planting trees on that land rather than disposing of it, they have called a Climate Emergency after all.	Dog Walking & Outdoor exercise	Yes
22	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is not wanted by residents. 2. A number of varieties of wildlife live on that area. 3. It is regularly walked by people on our estate, those with and without dogs. 4. Alsager is becoming more and more populated. 5. It would put additional pressure on infrastructure in Alsager (traffic). 	Dog Walking & Outdoor exercise	Yes in part.
23	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The land designated under the proposal is recreational land, used mostly by dog walkers and families. Given the increase in mental health issues in our society, open space is now a more critical necessity than ever before. 2. This is a heavily populated area that relies on this land and the adjacent Mere Lake Way for green space for families and residents. The Council should be preserving open spaces that can be used by residents to exercise and socialise. 3. This area is already well developed with almost no breaks in housing. Alsager has tolerated enormous amounts of development at the bottom of the town, there is absolutely no need to add further pressure to the infrastructure by developing on Talke Road. 4. Development of this land could disturb the delicate balance of the current environment, risking further flood events to residents. 5. This land should be offered to Alsager Town Council as a gift on the proviso that it is protected for the good of all Alsager residents. 	designated under the proposal is recreational land, used mostly by dog walkers	Yes in part.
24	extra pollution and eradication of our open spaces		No
25	Building houses (way too many) and warehouses without improving the town centre, local schools, road infrastructure. Alsager will not benefit.		No
26	No grounds provided - just an objection received.		No
27	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Talke Road is already very busy, and vehicles accessing the new houses will generate more traffic at a narrow junction. 2. The access to the allotments will be restricted. 3. Local dog walkers will lose access to the safe and more or less enclosed area for exercising their dogs. 4. The residents of the terraced houses between the land and Talke Road will lose access to their parking area behind the houses, leading to more on road parking close to the blind corner going into Linley Road. 	Dog walking	Yes in part.

28	<p>1. Residents were only made aware of the disposal one day before the closing date for objections / representations. It has been conducted in a very underhand and secretive way.</p> <p>2. There has been several new housing developments in Alsager in recent years and a new, large industrial estate has also been built on the Crewe road close to the lights on the M6 junction 16 road. These Developments have already substantially increased the population of the town and also heavy traffic on roads that are often narrow and twisting and unsuitable for large vehicles. There have not been any commensurate increases in services to support this breakneck development. In fact services have been decreased in recent years and there is no longer a bank in the town. To support this amount of development, infrastructure must be initiated with health, schools, roads etc.</p>		No
29	<p>1. what will happen to the access to our parking spaces and where our bins will be collected?</p> <p>2. Concerned about yet another loss of wildlife habitat and green space.</p> <p>3. Parking is already problematic in Talke Road.</p> <p>4. deeds to the properties suggest that the council do not own the access road so how can the council sell land that is not owned by the council?</p> <p>5. Consideration needs to be given to parking, access, infrastructure including doctors and dentists. And the environment. The green area behind our houses is an ideal wildlife habitat - its destruction will be so depressing.</p>	Wildlife	Yes in part.
30	<p>1. Puzzled as to why neither Alsager Town Council nor the three CEC Members - Cllrs Buckley, Fletcher and myself - were notified of this in November.</p> <p>2. Alsager Town Council is involved with allotments around the town and I understand that the entrance to this site from Talke Road - regularly used by Network Rail vehicles - leads onto the Talke Road Allotments.</p> <p>3. There may or may not be implications for users of the allotments but prior consultation may have identified or ironed out any potential issues that might arise.</p>		No
31	<p>1. This site is home to a healthy population of native birds , some of which that nest here year after year. It is regularly visited by birds of prey. There is a rich biodiversity of wildlife that thrives here from bees , insects , foxes , birds and many others animals.</p> <p>2. This area is used on a daily basis by dogwalkers. Where will dogs get to run and play in an ever increasing concrete world? Children play here in safety away from the busy roads , an safe outdoor space to get fresh air and exercise.</p> <p>3. Development will impact and cause issues with access, traffic and infrastructure.</p>	Dog walking and exercise	Yes in part.

32	<p>1. Have all local residents that will be affected by this proposal been notified?</p> <p>2. It is currently an area of open space in a town which is increasing having countryside built on.</p> <p>2. The access points are likely to be near the junction of Clowes Avenue or on the bend of Linley road.</p> <p>3. One boundary line will be near the railway, which inherent issues of safety and noise I object to the selling off of CEC land without full notification of all residents in the locality.</p>		No
33	<p>1. Alsager Town Council and individual councillors, have not received any prior notification or indeed been formally notified of this proposed disposal of land.</p> <p>2. The land currently provides a rear access to the properties of residents of 133 – 167 Talke Road and a public right of access to the field and adjacent open space. In particular there is a legal right of access to the Talke Road allotments, which are the responsibility of Alsager Town Council and as provided within the 125-year lease between Alsager Town Council and Cheshire East.</p> <p>3. any disposal will impact on the allotment tenants legal right of access as well as the residents and the public.</p> <p>4. concerned and disappointed that given recent discussions with CE on the future disposal of assets that councillors of Alsager Town Council have not received any specific or prior notice of this intention.</p>		No
34	<p>The disposal area includes land that is a service area to residents for garages etc. it is owned by the residents and not the Council. Access is required.</p>		No
35	<p>1. Digging and building going on in the area would potentially have an effect on the stability of properties.</p> <p>2. additional traffic would make the road have an even higher volume of traffic.</p> <p>3. This area currently gets used a lot for children, dogs and families for recreational use.</p> <p>4. Contamination concerns.</p>	Dog walking and recreational use.	Yes in part.
36	<p>1. Loss of biodiversity.</p> <p>2. Loss of open recreation space for the residents. The area is used by residents for exercise. It is not in any way 'surplus' land, it is land used by local residents.</p> <p>3. Failure to follow government guidelines on disposal of land.</p> <p>4. There has been no engagement with Alsager Town Council at all, let alone early and meaningful engagement. By extension none of the local residents knew about this.</p>	Used for exercise	Yes in part.

37	<p>1. This has been public open space for many years, and is invaluable as such for local residents, dog-walkers, and children.</p> <p>2. It is also a valuable area for wildlife - animals and plants.</p> <p>3. It provides a "green lung" in a largely built-up area. Alsager has a very low total area of public open space per head of population, especially with all the new building we already have. The town needs more public open space, not less.</p> <p>4. The area as shown on the plan includes the road which gives access to the rear of the houses (numbers 133 to 167) opposite the Linley pub, where they have garages and/or parking spaces. They have no suitable alternative parking space at the front on Linley Road. In fact, the plan area seems to include some land which actually belongs to the houses.</p> <p>5. It also cuts off the only access to the well-used allotment site which is to the west of the area.</p> <p>6. Linley Road is a narrow road with sharp bends which already has too much traffic and too little off-road parking. Building more houses and causing more traffic, with the extra air and noise pollution, should be out of the question.</p> <p>7. This area was not included as a possible area for development in the recently-made Neighbourhood Plan, so should not be considered for development.</p>	Invaluable Open Space used by residents and for dog walking.	Yes in part.
38	Interested to know why, as the affected parties, and common courtesy we would have been notified of the proposal by letter, which none of us have received.		No
39	<p>1. Concerned about what will happen to the access to parking spaces and where bins will be collected for nearby residents.</p> <p>2. Concerned about yet another loss of wildlife habitat.</p> <p>3. Parking is already problematic in Talke Road and with most households having at least 2 cars (as Alsager is a commuter town), where are the residents to park without creating chaos and further frustration.</p> <p>4. The proposal as it seems like a cheap money making / saving exercise with little thought to long term impact on the local area.</p>	Wildlife	Yes in part.
40	<p>1. To change the use of this much used natural area to housing would be a loss to the hundreds of people who use it to walk their dogs daily and the children who play there.</p> <p>2. I also believe that the increased traffic at the junction with Cromer Ave would cause congestion and create an added danger for pedestrians and drivers alike.</p>	Dog walking and exercise	Yes in part.

41	<p>1. Contamination concerns.</p> <p>2. Concerned about the access to my rear parking space and those of my neighbours who not only own rear parking spaces but also garages accessed from the service road directly behind houses 133 to 167 (odd numbers only).</p> <p>3 - The land in the proposed sale is valuable amenity land and numerous dog walkers and other people use the land regularly. It is also the access to allotments.</p> <p>4 - The entrance to the current service road off Talke Road going behind houses 133 to 167 (odd numbers only) is very narrow and nearly always blocked on one side by parked cars, either from residents or people visitors.</p> <p>5 - I purchased my property because of the open countryside view behind the house. Birds nest in the trees and other wild animals live on the land. There are an ever decreasing number of open spaces in Alsager already so potentially taking away another one is unacceptable in my opinion. The hills on the land and trees and extensive vegetation offer a buffer to the sound of the frequent trains on the mainline railway track through Alsager running alongside the land. Removing these for housing would be very unwelcome.</p>	Dog walkers and recreational use.	Yes in part.
42	<p>1. The proposed areas is one of nature in an otherwise built up area. We are reducing the biodiversity and ecosystems of Alsager by over developing all its' slightly open spaces, especially as there is current work in the close vicinity already creating more housing space..</p> <p>2. Both animals and variety of plants are forced out as they have no where to go to or move onto now as each neighbouring area is also at risk or already being built upon.</p> <p>3. This area is a highly used recreational area for those living within this part of Alsager and likewise with those from other areas too. This is a vast and open area in which inhabitants of Alsager can use for exercise, fresh air and for family play with children for health and well-being of our inhabits whilst also being and area where you are able to maintain social distance from other households.</p> <p>4. The area in which is being proposed also raising the issue of traffic coming along the link roads into the area of which are already busy and have issues with traffic along Talke Road (and often cause breaches of peace with arguments and disputes over rights of way/minor accidents between cars).</p> <p>5. Alsager is an already fast developing area, with many open spaces already having been built upon or still within initial phases of work, yet there have been no provisions for improved infrastructure of basic and required services needed to support an influx of population.</p>	Recreational activities	Yes in part.

43	<p>Overlooking/loss of privacy of existing properties.</p> <p>Visual amenity.</p> <p>Adequacy of parking/loading/turning / Highway safety/Traffic generation.</p> <p>Noise and disturbance resulting from use.</p> <p>Hazardous materials to be removed from site before construction.</p> <p>Loss of trees.</p> <p>Layout and density of building and possible increase of flood risk to existing properties.</p> <p>Design, appearance and materials.</p> <p>Landscaping & Nature conservation.</p>		No
44	<p>Overlooking/loss of privacy of existing properties.</p> <p>Visual amenity.</p> <p>Adequacy of parking/loading/turning / Highway safety/Traffic generation.</p> <p>Noise and disturbance resulting from use.</p> <p>Hazardous materials to be removed from site before construction.</p> <p>Loss of trees.</p> <p>Layout and density of building and possible increase of flood risk to existing properties.</p> <p>Design, appearance and materials.</p> <p>Landscaping & Nature conservation.</p>		No
45	Objection against any development.		No
46	I have an allotment at Talke Road and this will remove access.		No
47	<p>The land includes the rear access road to the residential properties in your red zone to be sold.</p> <p>As far as I'm aware this road is owned by the properties already built and looked after by the highways agency,so can't be sold by the council.</p>		No
48	<p>There are enough houses being built without the required and necessary infrastructure to support anymore. Excess traffic, medical facilities, schools, local parking, all need improving to support extra housing. There is no mention of any plans to support these vitally important logistics.</p>		No
49	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Disgusted by the way this proposal has been kept quiet, allowing the final date for objections to arrive without local people having the opportunity to consider it. 2. There is already very little open space left in Alsager, after the huge areas of housing and warehouses that have gone up recently, despite strong objections by local residents. 3. The town infrastructure is not adequate to support more people. Schools, doctors, dentists are stretched beyond capacity. 		No

50	I feel that this was really below the belt , trying to rush this through with little time for residents to get objections together. A disgraceful trick to play on peaceful residents of this area.		No
51	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This piece of land is regularly used by members of the community for dog walking and for other recreational purposes. 2. This section of land has allowed people to stay-apart from others, when the mown-field area is in use by families involved in ball sports etc. 3. Having lived in the Alsager community for a few decades, I also feel saddened that the Council may overlook the needs of many, who enjoy and benefit from green spaces, for the purposes of yet-more housing developments in the already, massively-developed Alsager area. 	Dog walking and recreational use.	Yes in part.
52	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Talke Rd is already oversubscribed with traffic and is mainly one way or give way, particularly bad at night when spaces are not visible and oncoming traffic has to mount the pavement. 2. The proposed access off Talke Road is a service road for residents to access their allocated parking space and narrow. 3. If you are a resident of Alsager accessing a Dr or dentist is a ready a problem so how are you going to be able to improve facilities for existing residents let alone new residents? 		No
53	The area is fast becoming over-developed and we need to retain our open spaces		No
54	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is not needed as there are plenty of other housing development in more suitable areas in and around Alsager already. 2. There is not the road infrastructure in place to support a housing development on the proposed land the road is already too busy on Talke Road with parked vehicles and traffic, a housing development would simply make this a much bigger issue. 3. There is not the infrastructure in the local amenities eg schools and doctors to support another housing development. 4. There is already another housing development near by (off Linley Road) so there is no further need for more housing. 		No
55	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The land in Alsager is becoming far to crowded for what was once a semi rural area. The loss of open land is causing issues for the environment, wildlife, public enjoyment and exercise, and for natural drainage which may be contributing to the poor state of the roads in the local area. 2. There would also be a loss of public right of way which would need to be addressed, as it is my understanding that it is illegal to move or diminish public right of way. 	Exercise & Wildlife	Yes in part.

56	<p>1. The extra traffic involved would put a ridiculous strain on the small roads of the estate. Surely the cost of maintaining this land would be minimal if it were left as it is or planted, as per Linley Park, to keep providing a green space for the local residents.</p> <p>2. The local schools are already under pressure, as are the doctor's surgeries, chemists and the rest of the local infrastructure. While I realise new housing has to be built, there has to be and certainly is in Alsager, a physical limit to this.</p>		No
57	<p>We do not want every inch of space in our community to be built on. Our community services like GP and dental surgeries are already strained and struggling to cope with demands and until they show signs of expanding to meet the needs of the community, residential property development simply has to slow down.</p>		No
58	<p>1. Protected green land.</p> <p>2. Alsager has been ruined by enough housing already, and a small piece of green land could be left to nature, or do we have to concrete over everything.</p> <p>3. I'm not sure the drains and drainage of the area will be helped by removing this piece of soakland.</p> <p>4. The land is an area for dog walkers, and maybe somewhere for the young kids to play.</p>	Dog walking and exercise	Yes in part.
59	<p>1. We need all our current open spaces to be accessible to everyone for purposes of health and wellbeing and recreation.</p> <p>2. No more houses are needed in Alsager which has seen an epidemic of development over the past few years. It would be far more appropriate to hand over the open space asset to Alsager Town Council to manage.</p>	Health, Wellbeing and recreational	Yes in part.
60	<p>It requires almost zero maintenance, is home to countless wildlife, is a precious wild space and will only be used to build more housing, causing traffic issues, noise, pollution and dust.</p>	Wildlife	Yes in part.
61	<p>This is an area currently used by residents as a safe space to exercise themselves and also walk their dogs. In a time where mental health and physical health are paramount removing such a facility of open space would be a hinderance to those currently residing in the area. The area is already hugely over populated and lacks open green space to remove this small section would make very little space.</p>	Dog walking and recreational use.	Yes in part.
62	<p>1. I feel that the proposed sale of this land will impact my property value in a negative way, and may even impact my ability to sell in the future.</p> <p>2. This proposed disposal will also have an impact on local traffic.</p> <p>3. Cheshire East have made no improvements to the road infrastructure, other than a Zebra Crossing on Crewe Road/Cranberry Lane in Alsager, and are unlikely to improve roads within the part of the village that surround this area due to lack of space to do so.</p> <p>4. This will also have a major impact on the wildlife located in this area, and the availability of space for dog walkers as they use this land.</p>	Dog walking and wildlife	Yes in part.

63	<p>1. Services the impact on schools, doctors, sewage, fresh water etc surely this has to play a large part for a objection of more houses.</p> <p>2. Open space distruction of trees which we need in fact would it not be better to plant more trees for our Ecco system.</p> <p>3. Do we really need more houses in Alsager. Will Talke Road take the extra traffic. Talke Road is a run through of cars going at speed.</p> <p>4. Wildlife lost the area is full of wildlife we don't need to destroy the little wildlife we have.</p>	Wildlife	Yes in part.
64	<p>1. This land is full of wildlife, birds, hedgehogs etc and SHOULD NOT be disposed of.</p> <p>2. It's an absolute disgrace that this is even being considered. There will be no open spaces in Alsager soon and no wildlife left. Its absolutely ridiculous!.</p>	Wildlife	Yes
65	<p>Deeply saddened yet again about ANOTHER development being proposed within Alsager. This area is somewhere I take my young children to play on the park, play football, hide and seek, go for picnics and spot the wildlife.</p>	Recreational activities & wildlife	Yes
66	<p>1. The amount of new house building has swamped this town, meanwhile the same number of local facilities remain the same. In addition we have hideous warehouses on Crewe Road built on green space.</p> <p>2. I object to the use of open green spaces being built on as this affects the quality of life of local residents.</p>	Use of open space	Yes in part.
67	<p>1. This area is used a lot for the allotments, dog walkers and a place for the children to play and for everyone to enjoy nature and wildlife, of which there is plenty.</p> <p>2. You keep eating away at our greenspace and people will leave the town. Our town has already changed so much in a few years and it is not for the best.</p> <p>3. You gave planning permission at Radway for residential properties and then built warehouses opposite. People are already selling up as they moved here for the greenspace and you, not the town, decided to drop a massive industrial estate in their line of view.</p>	Dog walking, recreational use and wildlife.	Yes in part.
68	<p>1. We regularly visit the park to play with our two young children and to walk our dog. As a resident of Alsager for 36 years I do not understand the need to destroy yet another of our beautiful green spaces.</p> <p>2. As a child and having played at this park myself it saddens me that this park may be destroyed for more unnecessary houses or alike.</p> <p>Alsager used to be such a beautiful place and is becoming more and more built up and ugly every year.</p> <p>4. I would urge you to please not destroy this green space for the sake of future generations! All that will be achieved is further pollution and increased global warming all for the greed of developers.</p>	Dog walking and recreational use.	Yes in part.
69	<p>There is to much building going on. The roads can't cope with all the traffic traveling through Alsager now. We just haven't got the services in place. We need better services. The graveyard is full we need somewhere to bury our dead.</p>		No

70	<p>After being emotionally bribed by East Cheshire Council fourteen years ago to privately rent in this area. I feel taking away this open space of which has enabled my family of five to run, play, communicate, and be free would be yet another dishonor.</p> <p>I've now paid for the mortgage on a house I don't own, paid for Uni for two children I don't know, and I am still a pension for someone who can retire anytime.</p>	Open Space used by Children to play etc.	Yes in part.
71	<p>1.The infrastructure around Alsager cannot cope with further development, especially south of the railway crossing on Audley Rd which already suffers traffic congestion.</p> <p>2. The area concerned is a public open space, enjoyed by many people for recreational purposes, is exercise, mental well being, dog walking, etc.</p> <p>3. This is a site where natural wildlife exists and flourishes without disturbance.</p> <p>4. Once again, the planning authority will probably allow private building speculation to continue at the expense of the local residents of the community, many of which have lived around the area for many years, and the cost of a new build will be probably out of reach for most young locals.</p>	Public open space, enjoyed by many people for recreational purposes, is exercise, mental well being, dog walking,	Yes in part.
72	<p>1. The access road at rear of property's from 133 Talke Road is an unadopted road and I believe owned by the residents for them to access the rear of their property's to park their vehicles and for the refuse collection services.</p> <p>2. The area is an area full of a diverse amount of wildlife and I believe turning the land from open space to building land will have an impact on the environment..</p> <p>3. Will the local services ie doctors etc be improved due to more houses being built.</p> <p>4. The building of more houses will only cause more flooding in the area..</p>	Wildlife	Yes in part.
73	<p>This has been an open playing area for children and dog walker for many years. Also, this is the only access to the allotments and to the back of the house further down Talke Road.</p>	Open play area for Children and Dog walkers	Yes in part.
74	<p>I am writing to object to the disposal of open space at talke road. This is a really important place for people and wildlife and should remain as is.</p>	Wildlife	Yes
75	<p>1. It is currently well used by residents for dog and exercise walking and for children to play.</p> <p>2. Open spaces which can safely used as play and leisure areas are few and far between in the excalibur and Linley areas of Alsager so this would mean losing one of the few open spaces we have.</p> <p>3. We have had considerable expansion in housing in Alsager with few green amenities to go with them and this contravenes the claimed green crude of the council.</p>	dog and exercise walking and for children to play.	Yes in part.
76	<p>The access to the properties and allotments is vital and already busy. Talke Rd is used by cars as a cut through to the village and A500/M6. More vehicles will cause greater congestion and pollution to an area of families and a school.</p>		No

77	<p>1. Very concerned about what will happen to the access to our parking spaces and where our bins will be collected.</p> <p>2. I'm also very concerned about yet another loss of wildlife habitat.</p> <p>3. Parking is already problematic in Talke Road and with most households having at least 2 cars (as Alsager is a commuter town), where are the residents to park without creating chaos and further frustration.</p> <p>4. The proposal as it seems like a cheap money making / saving exercise with little thought to long term impact on the local area.</p>	Wildlife habitat	Yes in part.
78	<p>This land should remain an open space. There has been enough housing developments in and around Alsager without the need for more. It would have a negative environmental impact. Also, it would be a detriment local residents.</p>		No
79	<p>1. Talke road is already grid locked most of the time with traffic as it is, without all the extra houses and how are we supposed to get out of our drives if our access road is being removed as in the plans and how are refuse supposed to collect the bins.</p> <p>2. There is also a lot of wildlife in the land behind our house which will be destroyed with your ridiculous plans and the trees and bushes down out any train noise so I don't see why people would want to live next to a busy train track either.</p> <p>3. It's absolutely absurd to put loads of houses in an area that has way too much traffic as it is just because there are fields owned by the council and you want to cash in on them and when are you going to get the hint the houses aren't wanted as planning permission has been rejected a number of times due to opposition. You are not supporting your constituents by going against their will.</p> <p>You are ruining the area for your own financial gains. If this goes ahead I'll be the first one moving out of the area.</p>	Wildlife	Yes in part.
80	<p>1. This is an area of open space for wildlife. There are several trees which would need to be destroyed to allow any development. It is also bordering allotments and some greenspace.</p> <p>2. How long before that would be taken next. It is just another piece of land in this town being taken for houses when the town's schools, Doctors etc are already beyond capacity. How much more open space will be taken.</p> <p>3. No-one will have anywhere to enjoy any open space within walking distance, hence having to get in a car. Not very environmentally friendly when we are all trying our best to stop by using cars.</p>	Wildlife	Yes in part.
81	<p>1. Although this might seem like an abandoned piece of land, this is a vital part of the local community. This is a great, safe space where local residents take their dogs, which are hard places to find, especially with the constant developments in Alsager.</p> <p>2. We have taken our dogs to this space for many years and we have made many friends from doing so, it is great for the dogs but also the owners.</p>	Dog walking and recreational use.	Yes

82	I object to the proposal on the grounds of a lack of suitable infrastructure and destruction of green space within our community. There has been ample development in recent years with plentiful availability of new build housing, this proposal does not help the community and would surely result in low quality housing built in close proximity to a railway. If further housing is to be built local schools and roads must be upgraded.		No
83	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. You are just destroying the area which I have known since 1981, growing up here and then returning here to buy my 'old build already established home' after a short time away. 2. This area was once a beautiful place with great community spirit and now it is just being ruined with all these houses being built, we have more trouble getting appointments, the schools are full and most importantly the crime levels seem to be worse. Not to mention the wildlife that is losing their home too, stop taking the only places we have left to appreciate and ruining what once felt like a rural special place to live! 3. There is nothing for the younger ones. 	Wildlife	Yes in part.
84	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I regularly walk my dog on that space, or just go for a stroll there. 2. If building takes place on the space in the future, it will make the allotments much less appealing. 3. The effect on mental health of removing open green spaces is well-known and has been widely published. 4. Children play there regularly, including my own children. The loss for residents in this quarter of Alsager would be immense: it is well used by our community. 5. It is also part of a wildlife corridor that is crucial for the wildlife in this part of Alsager: why on earth did Cheshire East bother declaring a 'climate emergency' if they intended to destroy wildlife in this way? 	Dog walking, recreational use and wildlife.	Yes