

Audit Assurance Levels

An overall opinion on the control environment will be given on completion of the audit work. This opinion relates **only** to those risks identified or systems tested.

Where the audit opinion given is either limited or no assurance, consideration will be given to including those areas in the Annual Governance Statement.

There are four possible opinions: good assurance, satisfactory assurance, limited assurance, and no assurance.

The following table explains the various assurance levels in terms of the controls in place and how testing has shown them to be operating. It also gives an indication as to the priority rating of recommendations you might expect at each assurance level, although please note this is for guidance only as the final opinion lies at the discretion of the Auditor.

Assurance Level	Explanation
Good Assurance	Controls are in place to mitigate the risks identified in the terms of Reference. Testing has shown that controls are working effectively and consistently to ensure that key risks are well managed. No high level recommendations have been made although there may be a small number at medium level. Some changes in the control environment may be beneficial to enhance performance and realise best practice.
Satisfactory Assurance	Controls are adequate to address the risks identified in the terms of reference. Testing has shown that there are some inconsistencies in the application of the controls, and attention is needed to improve the effectiveness of these controls. Recommendations will normally be no higher than medium level.
Limited Assurance	Controls are either not designed to mitigate the risks identified in the terms of reference, or testing has shown there to be significant non-application of controls. There are likely to be a number of high priority recommendations and/or a large number at the medium level. Attention is needed to improve the quality and effectiveness of the control environment in order to ensure key risks can be managed well.
No Assurance	There is an absence of controls to mitigate the risks identified in the terms of reference. The majority of recommendations made are high priority, and key risks are not being properly managed. Urgent attention is required by management to improve the control environment. This area may be considered for inclusion in the organisation's Annual Governance Statement. It may also be appropriate for this area to be included in the sections/directorate Risk Register, and for the action plan to address these fundamental weaknesses to become part of the Service Delivery Plan.