

Public Document Pack

CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL

Minutes of a meeting of the **Strategic Planning Board**
held on Wednesday, 11th September, 2013 at The Capesthorne Room -
Town Hall, Macclesfield SK10 1EA

PRESENT

Councillor H Davenport (Chairman)
Councillor D Hough (Vice-Chairman)

Councillors Rachel Bailey, J Hammond, J Jackson, P Mason, B Murphy,
C G Thorley, G M Walton and J Wray

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE

Mr N Curtis (Principal Development Officer), Mrs R Goddard (Senior lawyer),
Mr B Haywood (Principal Planning Officer), Mr S Irvine (Planning & Place
Shaping Manager), Mr C Kearney (Enforcement Officer) and Miss E Williams
(Principal Planning Officer)

63 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors D Brown, P
Edwards, P Hoyland and S Wilkinson.

64 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST/PRE DETERMINATION

In the interests of openness in respect of applications 13/1052W and
13/1223N, Councillor J Hammond declared that he was a member of the
Cheshire Wildlife Trust who had been a consultee on the applications,
however he had not made any comments in respect of the applications.

65 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

RESOLVED

That the minutes be approved as a correct record and signed by the
Chairman subject to the last bullet point relating to the Section 106
Agreement in respect of Minute No. 54, application 13/0336N being
amended as follows:-

‘Reiterate previous Section 106 agreements in particular concerning the
public access from the NW corner and the SW corner of the site and public
transport. (Funding of £330,000 to be made available for footpath and
cycle improvement and £300,000 for public transport).’

In addition in relation to Minute No. 54 it was requested by Councillor J
Hammond that that a way should be found through the overall

development of the Site to accommodate the concerns of Weston & Basford Parish Council. The existing sparse planting needed to be made more robust to mitigate the impact of the tall warehouse buildings on residents of Larch Avenue and Weston Lane Basford from both a visual and noise impact point of view. As such land along the south side of the A500 Shavington bypass was within the highway boundary therefore he felt mitigation was both feasible and achievable through the overall masterplan of the site.

Whilst this was not part of the application he requested that it should be stipulated as an informative for the overall development. The Planning & Place Shaping Manager advised that this request could be accommodated.

66 PUBLIC SPEAKING

RESOLVED

That the public speaking procedure be noted.

67 13/1052W-DEVELOPMENT OF A PIPELINE CORRIDOR COMPRISING OF THREE PIPES BETWEEN THE BRINE FIELD AT WARMINGHAM AND THE SALT FACTORY AT MIDDLEWICH AND FOUR PIPES AND A FIBRE OPTIC CABLE LINK BETWEEN THE SALT FACTORY AT MIDDLEWICH AND THE CHEMICAL WORKS AT LOSTOCK; ERECTION OF A BUFFER TANK AT THE WARMINGHAM BRINE FIELD; A BUFFER TANK, PUMPING STATION AND FOUR SETTLEMENT TANKS USED IN THE PURIFICATION PROCESS AT THE SALT FACTORY AT MIDDLEWICH; A PIPE BRIDGE CROSSING AT THE RIVE DANE; A PUMPING STATION AT BLUE SLATES FARM; TWO BUFFER TANKS AND A PUMPING STATION AT THE CHEMICAL FACTORY, LOSTOCK; AND OTHER ASSOCIATED ANCILLARY DEVELOPMENT FOR JOHN MELIA, BRITISH SALT LTD

Consideration was given to the above application.

(Mr Adams, the agent for the applicant attended the meeting and spoke in respect of the application).

RESOLVED

That for the reasons set out in the report the application be approved subject to the following conditions:-

- 1) Standard conditions;
- 2) Submission of detailed construction phasing plan;
- 3) Seven days written notification of commencement of development;
- 4) Tree protection details;

- 5) Arboricultural method statement including details of all trees/hedgerows to be removed prior to commencement of each phase of development;
- 6) Reinstatement of hedgerow and compensatory tree/hedgerow planting.
- 7) No removal of trees or shrubs during bird breeding season;
- 8) Submission of wildlife protection plan;
- 9) Detail of the great crested newts mitigation measures prior to the commencement of development.
- 10) Method statement for barn owl protection during construction phase.
- 11) Preconstruction surveys for badgers informing the need for mitigation
- 12) Preconstruction surveys for otters and water voles informing the need for mitigation;
- 13) Detailed mitigation strategy for any works affecting otters/water voles or their habitat;
- 14) Preconstruction surveys for reptiles informing the need for mitigation and provision of reptile method statement;
- 15) Bat survey;
- 16) Scheme for replacement planting of vegetation lost at river crossings and method statement for reinstating grassland habitat;
- 17) Further otter/water vole survey should development not commence within 2 years;
- 18) Ecological method statement for directional drilling over River Wheelock SBI;
- 19) Method statement for managing Japanese Knotweed;
- 20) Submission of detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan;
- 21) Submission of detailed Decommission Environment Management Plan;
- 22) Scheme of ecological mitigation submitted prior to development of each phase;
- 23) Submission of highway method statement;
- 24) Hours of operation;
- 25) Programme of archaeological work and mitigation;

- 26) Method statement for engineering works to prevent harm to heritage assets;
- 27) Scheme for protection of Kinderton Mill from construction vehicle damage;
- 28) Method statement for protecting watercourses;
- 29) Provision of containment for storage of oils, fuels or chemicals;
- 30) Full lighting details;
- 31) Full noise and vibration details for each phase;
- 32) Noise mitigation scheme for the pump house;
- 33) Silencing of all plant equipment and vehicles;
- 34) Provision of contaminated land investigations and remediation measures;
- 35) Provision for detailing with unexpected contamination;
- 36) Soil management measures;
- 37) Full restoration and landscape enhancement details including planting details, specifications, plans, gapping up details of hedgerow planting;
- 38) Landscaping maintenance and management condition;
- 39) Decommissioning plan;
- 40) A scheme to prevent contamination of surface waters by 'silty' run-off during construction.
- 41) Method statement for crossing watercourses;
- 42) Bund details for the buffer tanks;
- 43) All tanks to remain sealed at all times;
- 44) Prior approval of details of pipeline crossing under or over or located in the public highway.
- 45) Prior approval of temporary vehicular accesses.
- 46) Closure of temporary new accesses & reinstatement within a set time period;
- 47) Directional Drilling or percussive equipment - Full development details required before any works commence on any one phase of development along with details of predicted noise and vibration levels and appropriate noise mitigation measures & all plant, equipment and vehicles used on site would be properly silenced;

- 48) Construction Method Statement incorporating Site Waste Management Plan;
- 49) Details of colour and materials of above ground pipework;
- 50) Details for construction and material specification for the pipe bridge;
- 51) Condition to secure appropriate pipe line decommissioning;
- 52) An agricultural land classification survey and soil characteristics profile condition;
- 53) Full condition survey of Footpath 16;
- 54) Provision of a community liaison group.

In order to give proper effect to the Committee's intentions and without changing the substance of the decision, authority is delegated to the Planning and Place Shaping Manager in consultation with the Chair (or in his absence the Vice Chair of Strategic Planning Committee) to correct any technical slip or omission in the wording of the resolution, between approval of the minutes and issue of the decision notice.

68 WITHDRAWN-13/2776W-RELOCATION OF MATERIALS RECYCLING PLANT WITH ADDITIONAL ENCLOSED PICKING LINE SHED (IN RETROSPECT) AND DELIVERY & STORAGE OF HOUSEHOLD & COMMERCIAL WASTES OUTSIDE THE HOURS OF OPERATION OF ADJACENT WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY VIA ALTERNATIVE ACCESS, HENSHAWS WASTE MANAGEMENT, 150, MOSS LANE, MACCLESFIELD, CHESHIRE FOR C.F.M HENSHAW

This application was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

69 WITHDRAWN-13/2772W-VARIATION OF CONDITIONS 2, 22, 24 AND 26 OF APPROVAL 5/06/2496P - EXTENSION OF EXISTING WASTE TRANSFER AND RECYCLING SITE TOGETHER WITH NEW BUILDINGS AND NEW SITE LAYOUT, HENSHAWS WASTE MANAGEMENT, 150, MOSS LANE, MACCLESFIELD, CHESHIRE FOR CFM HENSHAW

This application was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

70 13/1223N-OUTLINE APPLICATION FOR UP TO 40 DWELLINGS, LAND TO REAR OF 144, AUDLEM ROAD, NANTWICH, CHESHIRE FOR WAINHOMES (NORTHWEST) LTD

Consideration was given to the above application and an oral correction was made to the conclusion of the report on page 131 where policies NE2 and Res5 should have been referred to in place of policies PS8 and H6.

(Mr Cullen, representing Protect Stapeley and Mr Harris, the agent for the applicant attended the meeting and spoke in respect of the application).

RESOLVED

That for the reasons set out in the report and in the oral update the Board be minded to refuse the application for the following reasons:-

1. The proposed residential development is unsustainable because it is located within the Open Countryside, where according to Policies NE.2 and RES.5 of the adopted Borough of Crewe and Nantwich Replacement Local Plan there is a presumption against new residential development. Such development would be harmful to its open character and appearance, which in the absence of a need for the development should be protected for its own sake. The Local Planning Authority can demonstrate a 5 year supply of housing land supply in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework. As such the application is also premature to the emerging Development Strategy. Consequently, there are no material circumstances to indicate that permission should be granted contrary to the development plan.
2. The proposal will result in a loss of Grade 3a agricultural land, which is considered to be amongst the best and most versatile agricultural land and given that the Authority can demonstrate a housing land supply in excess of 5 years, there is no need for the development, and the housing which it would provide could be accommodated elsewhere. The use of the best and most versatile agricultural land is unsustainable and contrary to Policy NE.12 of the Borough of Crewe and Nantwich Replacement Local Plan 2011 and the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework.
3. In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, visibility at the proposed access to the site from the A529 is substandard and would result in a severe and unacceptable impact in terms of road safety contrary to Policy BE.3 of the Borough of Crewe and Nantwich Replacement Local Plan 2011 and the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework.

(This was a change in the Officers original recommendation from one of refusal to one of 'minded to refuse' due to the fact that the applicant had decided to submit an appeal against non determination).

71 13/2299N-APPROVAL OF DETAILS OF THE APPEARANCE, LANDSCAPING, LAYOUT AND SCALE AS REQUIRED BY CONDITION 1 ATTACHED TO THE OUTLINE PLANNING PERMISSION 11/4549N, LAND AT ROPE LANE, SHAVINGTON, CREWE, CHESHIRE FOR WAINHOMES NORTH WEST LTD

Consideration was given to the above application.

(Mr Harris, the agent for the applicant attended the meeting and spoke in respect of the application. In addition a statement was read out by the Planning and Place Shaping Manager on behalf of the Ward Councillor, Councillor D Brickhill).

RESOLVED

That the application be refused for the following reasons:-

1. In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority the affordable housing provision would not be sufficiently “pepper-potted” throughout the development. This would be contrary to the provisions of the Council’s Interim Planning Policy: Affordable Housing and paragraph 50 of the National Planning Policy Framework in respect of the provision of inclusive and mixed communities.
2. The proposed internal layout for the site does not provide sufficient quality of design in relation to the Manual for Streets, or a legible adoptable boundary to appropriately serve the end-user residents. This, coupled with the inappropriate location of the public open space, results in a layout which would fail to create a safe, accessible and secure environment and high quality and inclusive design for public spaces which will function well and add to the overall quality of the area, not just for the short term but over the lifetime of the development. In so doing, the proposal will also fail to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions and to establish a strong sense of place, using streetscapes and buildings to create attractive and comfortable places to live and visit contrary to the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework and policies BE2 (Design) and BE3 (Access and Parking) of the Borough of Crewe and Nantwich Replacement Local Plan 2011
3. The proposed house types, which include 3 storey properties, and are a standard design, with little or no elevational detailing, do not establish a strong sense of place, using streetscapes and buildings to create attractive and comfortable places to live, work and visit; respond to local character and history, and reflect the identity of local surroundings and materials, or seek to promote or reinforce local distinctiveness and will not function well and add to the overall quality of the area, not just for the short term but over the lifetime of the development. The proposal is not visually attractive as a result of good architecture and is therefore contrary to the provisions of policies BE2 (Design) and BE3 (Access and Parking) of the Borough of Crewe and Nantwich

Replacement Local Plan 2011 and the National Planning Policy Framework which states that permission should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions.

(This decision was contrary to the Officers recommendation of approval).

**72 WITHDRAWN-NEWBOLD ASTBURY AND MORETON
NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA APPLICATION**

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

The meeting commenced at 10.30 am and concluded at 1.05 pm

Councillor H Davenport (Chairman)